OWERTAL PROTECTION	
San Maria	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0490017 DATE: 06/27/2008       ARRIVE: 9:12am       DEPART: 9:24am         FACILITY NAME: CEMEX CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, L.P.       FACILITY LOCATION:       1301 HIGHWAY 17 NORTH         WAUCHULA       33873-         OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       Mr. Jason P. Jones       PHONE:         CONTACT NAME:       *****Facility shutdown and dismantled.*****       PHONE:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       7/18/2009       (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE         PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  Yes No		
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No</li> </ul>		

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check I only one box.</i> )
<ul> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?</li> <li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?</li> <li>c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li> <li>c) The sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes ] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes ] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles?  Ves  No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes No
<ul> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?</li> </ul>	

Wendy D. Simmons

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

NONE

06/27/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** This facility was closed. All equipment was dismantled. I contacted Mr. Jason P. Jones of CEMEX who confirmed shutdown and dismantle dates as indicated in attached e-mail. I requested that Mr. Jones send a letter relinquishing the permit so the facility can be inactivated in ARM's.